

DNSSEC Deployment Metrics Research

Moritz Müller

The Goal

- to perform a survey of academic and industry literature related to the deployment of DNSSEC
- to find and document the different techniques and metrics used to measure all aspects of DNSSEC deployment
- to make recommendations to ICANN org for which metrics to measure to obtain the most comprehensive view of DNSSEC deployment across the Internet [1]

[1] <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rfp-dnssec-deployment-metrics-research-17may21-en.pdf>


Our Approach

1. Study a broad range of research
 - from academia
 - presented at conferences by the industry (RIPE, ICANN DNSSEC workshop, ...)
2. Gap analysis with identified metrics
3. Develop assessment framework to assess measurement techniques


Challenge: DNSSEC deployment is a wide field




Challenge: DNSSEC deployment is a wide field



Challenge: DNSSEC deployment is a wide field



Challenge: DNSSEC deployment is a wide field



Identified metrics (WIP)

Resolver metrics:

- DNSSEC capability
- DNSSEC validation
- Validation errors
- Transport errors
- Protocol implementation
- Cache spoofing vulnerability
- Trust Anchor
- Negative trust anchor
- Telemetry

Identified metrics (WIP)

Domain Name metrics:

- DNSSEC RR publication
- DNSSEC RR validity
- Transport errors
- Key attributes
- Signature attributes
- Operational metrics
- DANE presence
- Other security RRs
- Domain name attributes
- Name server attributes

Identified metrics (WIP)

- End user metrics: e.g. relies on validating resolver
- DNS Software metrics: e.g. resolver software X supports RFC-5011
- DNS "eco system" metrics: e.g. registrar Y supports Algorithm 15

Identified measurement techniques

Passive		<i>vs</i>		Active
End user	<i>vs</i>	Recursive Resolver	<i>vs</i>	Authoritative Name Server
Measurement platform		<i>vs</i>		“Hack”
Raw		<i>vs</i>		Aggregated
Full control		<i>vs</i>		Reliant on third party
Transparent		<i>vs</i>		Opaque

Technique Assessment

- Measurement technique has large impact on each metric
- Assess each technique based on:
 - **Coverage** – which parts of the ecosystem can be measured and with what bias?
 - **Reproducibility** – are all measurement parameters known?
 - **Feasibility** – can the measurement run continuously, and does it rely on 3rd parties?
- On a scale from 0 to 4

Metrics in the interest of the community

- Which metrics are most relevant?
- What is the most important aspect, when selecting a measurement technique?
- Which metrics or techniques did we miss?

Metrics in the interest of the community

- Which metrics are most relevant?
- What is the most important aspect, when selecting a measurement technique?
- Which metrics or techniques did we miss?

Moritz Müller
moritz.muller@sidn.nl

Any questions?